



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2018

The Ethical Importance of Assessing End-of-Life Care Preferences in Patients with Severe and Persistent Mental Illness

Trachsel, Manuel

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2017.09.026>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-159068>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Trachsel, Manuel (2018). The Ethical Importance of Assessing End-of-Life Care Preferences in Patients with Severe and Persistent Mental Illness. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26(1):98-99.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2017.09.026>

The Ethical Importance of Assessing End-of-Life Care Preferences in Patients with Severe and Persistent Mental Illness

Manuel Trachsel, MD, PhD; Institute of Biomedical Ethics and History of Medicine,
Center for Medical Humanities, University of Zurich, Switzerland

It is well known that patients with severe and persistent mental illness (SPMI) have a high mortality rate (1-2) and die 10 to 20 years earlier than persons in the general population (3-4). One reason for their premature death is that SPMI is more likely to result in destructive somatic illnesses for a number of reasons. One such reason is that somatic illnesses are less likely to be diagnosed in SPMI patients (5); another reason is suicide, either by the patient's own hand or assisted by physicians (6).

A further issue is that after available evidence-based treatments have failed, patients with SPMI are at risk of incriminatory and futile interventions (7-8). However, as Yager has noted, treatment excesses and hyper-interventionism contrary to the patient's wishes are ethically unsupportable (9), a fortiori because there is evidence that most patients with mental disorders (including SPMI) have preserved decision-making capacity (e.g., 10).

It is important, then, that mental healthcare professionals take seriously the moral principle of patient self-determination, and that they move toward a model of shared decision-making that includes patients with SPMI (11). Assessment of patient preferences is a necessary prerequisite for shared decision-making; paradigmatic approaches to systematic consideration of patients' wishes include advanced care

planning (12) and psychiatric advance directives (13). These are also the central frames within which end-of-life care preferences should be discussed.

Elie and colleagues' article in this issue (14) heads in this direction, offering important insights into the end-of-life care preferences of patients with SPMI and those with chronic medical conditions. This study is important from medical, ethical, and legal points of view, as it addresses several issues of great societal interest in the context of mental health, including end-of-life preferences, palliative care, and physician-assisted dying.

The study's main finding was that SPMI patients are capable of voicing their end-of-life care preferences and, contrary to some fears, did not express a wish for medically assisted dying more often than non-SPMI patients with chronic medical conditions. Indeed, patients were receptive and were not seen to feel uncomfortable when talking about their end-of-life.

The authors defined SPMI as "any DSM-5 mental illness diagnosed for at least 2 years resulting in serious functional impairment" (p. X). With regard to this study population, the authors stated that they "cannot exclude that a more symptomatic SPMI population could have had different attitudes" (p. X). Indeed, it would be a worthwhile goal for further studies to assess the end-of-life preferences of more symptomatic patients with SPMI when last resort treatments have failed—for example, in the case of patients with therapy refractory major depression following unsuccessful electro-convulsive therapy or ketamine infusions, severe cases of chronic schizophrenia, or patients with end-stage anorexia nervosa. It may be that a higher percentage of those patients would opt for palliative care approaches (15) or physician-assisted dying than was reported by Elie and colleagues (14).

References

1. Reininghaus U, Dutta R, Dazzan P, et al: Mortality in schizophrenia and other psychoses: A 10-year follow-up of the AESOP First-Episode Cohort. *Schizophr Bull* 2015; 41(3):664–673. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbu138
2. Walker ER, McGee RE, Druss BG: Mortality in mental disorders and global disease burden implications: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015; 72(4):334–341. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.2502
3. Olfson M, Gerhard T, Huang C, et al: Premature mortality among adults with schizophrenia in the United States. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2015; 72:1172–1181.
4. Colton CW, Manderscheid RW: Congruencies in increased mortality rates, years of potential life lost, and causes of death among public mental health clients in eight states. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2006; 3(2):1–14.
5. Crump C, Winkleby MA, Sundquist K, et al: Comorbidities and mortality in persons with schizophrenia: A Swedish national cohort study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2013; 170:324–333
6. Kim SY, De Vries RG, Peteet JR: Euthanasia and assisted suicide of patients with psychiatric disorders in the Netherlands 2011 to 2014. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2016; 73(4):362–368.
7. Berk M, Berk L, Udina M, et al: Palliative models of care for later stages of mental disorder: maximizing recovery, maintaining hope, and building morale. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012; 46(2):92–99. doi:10.1177/0004867411432072
8. McGorry PD, Berk M, Berk L, et al: Commentary on “Palliative models of care for later stages of mental disorder: Maximising recovery, maintaining hope and building morale.” *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2012;46(3):276–278. doi:10.1177/0004867412438009
9. Yager J: The futility of arguing about medical futility in anorexia nervosa: The question is how would you handle highly specific circumstances? *Am J Bioeth* 2015; 15(7):47–50.
10. Okai D, Owen G, McGuire H, et al: Mental capacity in psychiatric patients: Systematic review. *BJ Psych* 2007; 191:291–297.
11. Slade M: Implementing shared decision making in routine mental health care. *World Psychiatry* 2017; 16(2):146–153.
12. Detering KM, Hancock AD, Reade MC, et al: The impact of advance care planning on end of life care in elderly patients: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010; 340:c1345.
13. Swanson JW, Swartz M, Elbogen EB, et al: Facilitated psychiatric advance directives: A randomized trial of an intervention to foster advance treatment planning among persons with severe mental illness. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; 163: 1943–1951.
14. Elie D, Marino A, Torres-Platas SG, et al: End-of-life care preferences in patients with severe and persistent mental illness and chronic medical conditions: A comparative cross-sectional study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* year; issue: pages.
15. Trachsel M, Irwin SA, Biller-Andorno N, et al: Palliative psychiatry for severe persistent mental illness as a new approach to psychiatry? Definition, scope,

benefits, and risks. BMC Psychiatry 2016; 16(260), 1–6. doi: 10.1186/s12888-016-0970-y